TO 17038729306 FAX AREOELVED

JUL 1 9 2002

GROUP 1600

VIA TELEFACSIMILE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: Boyse et al.

Application No.: 08/442,277

Filed: May 16, 1995

ISOLATION AND PRESERVATION OF Attorney Docket No.: 6287-026

FETAL AND NEONATAL HEMATOPOIETIC STEM AND

PROGENITOR CELLS OF THE BLOOD

OFFICIAL

Group Art Unit: 1651

Examiner: J. Witz

COMMUNICATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Applicants respectfully request that the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office reissue the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, and Notice of Allowability, mailed June 4, 2002 to indicate that claims 113-120 were entered and allowed, in connection with the aboveidentified application.

On March 26, 2002, Applicants filed a Second Supplemental Reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 with Amendment with Exhibit A, together with an Amendment Fee Transmittal Sheet via telefacsimile to facsimile telephone number 1-703-872-9306; a copy of this submission including the telefacsimile cover sheet together with the telefacsimile receipt are attached hereto. In the Second Supplemental Reply, Applicants added claims 113-120.

CERTIFICATION	 	

I hereby certify that this paper is being filed with the United States Parent and Trademark Office by facalmile transmission on July 18, 2002 to factinate telephone number 1-703-872-9306.

40,203 (Reg. No.)

A Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due together with a Notice of Allowability were mailed on June 4, 2002, which Notice of Allowability indicated that claims 60-62, 67-102 and 104-112 were allowed. Applicants' representative subsequently noticed that the Notice of Allowability did not indicate that claims 113-120 had been allowed, which claims were added in the Second Supplemental Reply. Applicants' representative contacted Examiner Jean Witz on June 28, 2002 and was informed by Examiner Witz that the Second Supplemental Reply had not been entered on the Patent and Trademark Office ("PTO") Palm System, and that there was no indication that such a submission had been received by the PTO. Examiner Witz requested that the Second Supplemental Reply and its accompanying documents be resubmitted together with the telefacsimile receipt indicating their transmission to and receipt by the PTO. Examiner Witz also indicated that resubmission via telefacsimile would be the most efficient method for expediting action on claims 113-120.

Accordingly, submitted herewith together with this Communication is (a) a telefacsimile cover sheet, (b) a copy of the Second Supplemental Reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111 with Amendment with Exhibit A, (c) the Amendment Fee Transmittal Sheet (in duplicate), (d) the telefacsimile cover sheet submitted on March 26, 2002, and (e) a copy of the telefacsimile receipt indicating receipt of the submission on March 26, 2002 by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Applicants request that the Second Supplemental Reply and accompanying documents be entered and made of record, and that the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due and Notice of Allowability be reissued to indicate that, in addition to claims 60-62, 67-102 and 104-112, claims 113-120 are allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

Date July 18, 2002

driane M. Antler (Reg. 1

PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP 1155 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036-2711 (212) 790-9090 JUL 18 2002 18:47 FR PENNIE EDMOND

T0 17038729306 P.04

** TX STATUS REPORT **

AS OF MAR 26 2002 19:47 PAGE/01

PENNIE EDMOND

DATE TIME TO/FROM MODE HIN/SEC PGS JOBH STATUS 02 03/26 19:44 USPTO EC-5 03'12" 013 OK